Classification with Linear Models

Losses for linear classification, logistic regression, multiclass classification

MISiS Mega Science, Spring Semester

Artem Maevskiy[1], Ekaterina Trofimova[1], Andrey Ustyuzhanin[1,2]

[1]National HSE University

[2]MISiS National University of Science and Technology

LAMBDA • HSE

March 2021

MISIS
National University of Science and Technology

Can’t we just use linear regression for classification?

Classification with linear regression

Classification:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \mathrm{sign}[\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x]$$



- For binary classification task, assign:
  - $y = +1$ for **positive** class
  - $y = -1$ for **negative** class

Classification with linear regression

Classification:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \text{sign}[\theta^{\text{T}} x]$$



- For binary classification task, assign:
  - $y = +1$ for **positive** class
  - $y = -1$ for **negative** class
- Solve linear regression for $\hat{y} = \theta^T x$ with MSE loss

Classification with linear regression

Classification:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \mathrm{sign}[\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x]$$



- For binary classification task, assign:
  - $y = +1$ for **positive** class
  - $y = -1$ for **negative** class
- Solve linear regression for $\hat{y} = \theta^T x$ with MSE loss
- Classify with $\mathrm{sign}[\hat{y}]$

Classification with linear regression

Classification:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \text{sign}[\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x]$$



- For binary classification task, assign:
  - $y = +1$ for **positive** class
  - $y = -1$ for **negative** class
- Solve linear regression for $\hat{y} = \theta^T x$ with MSE loss
- Classify with $\text{sign}[\hat{y}]$
- Any problems with this approach?

Classification with linear regression



- May face problems when classes are unbalanced or have different spread

Classification with linear regression



MSE loss makes the model **avoid high residuals**

at a price of **pushing the decision boundary** towards the class with higher spread

Can we find a better loss function?

Classification loss functions

0-1 Loss

- Probability of an error

$$\mathcal{L}_{0-1} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \mathbb{I}\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i \cdot y_i < 0\right)$$

$$y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$$



0-1 Loss

- Probability of an error

$$\mathcal{L}_{0-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1\dots N} \mathbb{I}\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i \cdot y_i < 0\right)$$

$$y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$$



- Can't optimize **piecewise constant** function with gradient-based methods*

*other techniques exist (still quite limited), will be discussed in few days

Margin

$$M = \theta^{\mathrm{T}} x \cdot y$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1\ldots N} \mathbb{I}\left(\underbrace{\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i \cdot y_i}_{\text{margin}} < 0\right)$$

$M > 0$ – correct classification
$M < 0$ – incorrect classification

Upper bounds on 0-1 loss



Instead of optimizing the 0-1 loss we can optimize a **differentiable upper bound**

Logistic Regression

Idea

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y = +1|x) = \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$
$$P(y = -1|x) = 1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$

Idea

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y = +1|x) = \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$
$$P(y = -1|x) = 1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$

- Fit with **maximum (log) likelihood**

Idea

$$\text{Likelihood} = \prod_{i=1\ldots N} P(y_i|x_i)$$

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y=+1|x) = \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$
$$P(y=-1|x) = 1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$

- Fit with **maximum (log) likelihood**

Idea

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y=+1|x)=\widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$
$$P(y=-1|x)=1-\widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$

$$\text{Likelihood}=\prod_{i=1\ldots N}P(y_i|x_i)$$
$$=\prod_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i=+1]\cdot\widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\right.$$
$$\left.+\,\mathbb{I}[y_i=-1]\cdot\left(1-\widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\right)\right]$$

- Fit with **maximum (log) likelihood**

Idea

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y=+1|x)=\hat{f}_\theta(x)$$
$$P(y=-1|x)=1-\hat{f}_\theta(x)$$

$$\text{Likelihood}=\prod_{i=1\ldots N}P(y_i|x_i)$$
$$=\prod_{i=1\ldots N}\Big[\mathbb{I}[y_i=+1]\cdot\hat{f}_\theta(x_i)$$
$$+\,\mathbb{I}[y_i=-1]\cdot\left(1-\hat{f}_\theta(x_i)\right)\Big]$$

- Fit with **maximum (log) likelihood**

$$\theta=\operatorname*{argmax}_\theta\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i=+1]\cdot\log\hat{f}_\theta(x_i)+\mathbb{I}[y_i=-1]\cdot\log\left(1-\hat{f}_\theta(x_i)\right)\right]$$

Idea

- Let's model the **class probabilities**

$$P(y = +1|x) = \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$
$$P(y = -1|x) = 1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x)$$

$$\text{Likelihood} = \prod_{i=1\ldots N} P(y_i|x_i)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1\ldots N} \Big[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)$$
$$+ \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot \big(1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\big)\Big]$$

- Fit with **maximum (log) likelihood**

$$\theta = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1\ldots N} \Big[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot \log \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot \log\big(1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\big)\Big]$$

- Predict the class with **highest probability***

*more generally: find a probability threshold suitable for your problem

Linear probability model

- How to map the linear model output to a probability value in $[0, 1]$?

Linear probability model

- How to map the linear model output to a probability value in $[0, 1]$?
- Common choice – **sigmoid function**:

$$\sigma(\chi) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\chi}}$$



Linear probability model

- How to map the linear model output to a probability value in $[0, 1]$?
- Common choice – **sigmoid function**:

$$\sigma(\chi) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\chi}}$$

- I.e. $P(y = +1|x) = \sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x\right)$



Linear probability model

- How to map the linear model output to a probability value in $[0, 1]$?
- Common choice – **sigmoid function**:

$$\sigma(\chi) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\chi}}$$



- I.e. $P(y = +1|x) = \sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x\right)$
- Then, $\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x$ has the meaning of **log odds ratio** between the two classes:

$$\log \frac{P(y = +1|x)}{P(y = -1|x)} = \log\left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x}} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x}}{e^{-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x}}\right) = \theta^{\mathrm{T}} x$$

Bringing it all together

- Use negative log likelihood as our loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1] \cdot \log \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1] \cdot \log\left(1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\right)\right]$$

Bringing it all together

- Use negative log likelihood as our loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1] \cdot \log \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1] \cdot \log\left(1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\right)\right]$$

$1 - \sigma(x) = \sigma(-x)$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1] \cdot \log \sigma(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1] \cdot \log \sigma(-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i)\right]$$

Bringing it all together

- Use negative log likelihood as our loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot\log\widehat{f_\theta}(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot\log\left(1 - \widehat{f_\theta}(x_i)\right)\right]$$

$1 - \sigma(x) = \sigma(-x)$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot\log\sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\right) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot\log\sigma\left(-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\right)\right]$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\log\sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\cdot y_i\right)$$

Bringing it all together

- Use negative log likelihood as our loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot\log\hat{f}_\theta(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot\log\left(1-\hat{f}_\theta(x_i)\right)\right]$$

$1-\sigma(x) = \sigma(-x)$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1]\cdot\log\sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\right) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1]\cdot\log\sigma\left(-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\right)\right]$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N}\log\sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\cdot y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1\ldots N}\log\left(1+e^{-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}x_i\cdot y_i}\right)$$

Bringing it all together

- Use negative log likelihood as our loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1] \cdot \log \hat{f}_\theta(x_i) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1] \cdot \log\left(1 - \hat{f}_\theta(x_i)\right)\right]$$

$1 - \sigma(x) = \sigma(-x)$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \left[\mathbb{I}[y_i = +1] \cdot \log \sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i\right) + \mathbb{I}[y_i = -1] \cdot \log \sigma\left(-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i\right)\right]$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1\ldots N} \log \sigma\left(\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i \cdot y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1\ldots N} \log\left(1 + e^{-\theta^{\mathrm{T}} x_i \cdot y_i}\right)$$

- This can be optimized **numerically**

Example



- Now the boundary is at the right place

Example



- Now the boundary is at the right place
- Note: when classes are linearly separable for any correct decision boundary

$$\theta \longrightarrow C \cdot \theta, \text{ for some } C > 1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

keeps the boundary at the same place, yet improves the loss

Example



- Now the boundary is at the right place
- Note: when classes are linearly separable for any correct decision boundary

  $\theta \longrightarrow C \cdot \theta$, for some $C > 1 \in \mathbb{R}$

  keeps the boundary at the same place, yet improves the loss

- ideal fit when sigmoid turns into a step function (at infinitely large θ)

Example



- When classes overlap the loss has a finite minimum
- Predicted class probability changes smoothly

Binary → multiclass

- Any binary linear classification model can be converted to multiclass with **one-vs-rest** strategy
- For each class k train a binary model $\widehat{f}_k(x) = \theta_{(k)}^{\mathrm{T}} x$ separating the given class from all others, $\hat{y}_{(k)}^{1-\mathrm{vs}-\mathrm{rest}} = \mathrm{sign}\big[\widehat{f}_k(x)\big]$
- Use the outputs of $\widehat{f}_k$ as class scores for multiclass classification:

$$\hat{y}_i = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}}\, \widehat{f}_k(x_i)$$

Example



- Consider the following 3 class problem

Example



- “Class-1 VS rest” binary classifier

Example



- “Class-2 VS rest” binary classifier

Example



- "Class-3 VS rest" binary classifier

Example



- $\widehat{f}_k(x) = 0$ lines (binary decision boundaries)

Example



- $\widehat{f}_k(x) = 0$ lines (binary decision boundaries)
- Adding decision boundaries for $\hat{y} = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} \widehat{f}_k(x)$

Example



- Adding decision boundaries for $\hat{y} = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} \widehat{f_k}(x)$

Summary

- Classification with linear regression and MSE loss may provide **biased results**
- 0-1 loss function is better, but is **hard to optimize** directly
- Various **differentiable upper bounds** on 0-1 loss may be used instead
- Logistic Regression combines such an upper bound with a **probabilistic model** using the **sigmoid function**
- Any binary linear classifier can be adapted to multiclass with the **one-vs-rest strategy**

Quiz / Questions

For the case of classifying d-dimensional data into K classes using logistic regression (with a bias term), how many scalar parameters do we fit?

Image by: pixabay.com/users/alexas_fotos-686414/

A. $(d+1) \cdot K$

B. $(d+1) \cdot (K-1)$

C. $d \cdot K$

D. $d \cdot (K-1)$